

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DA	ATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/053,743	01/22/20	002	David R. Winkler	66736/31033	6125	
21888	7590 1	1/03/2003		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	ON COBURN, I	PAPE, J	PAPE, JOSEPH			
ONE US BA	NK PLAZA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ST LOUIS,			3612			
				DATE MAILED: 11/03/200	3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/053,743	10/053,743 WINKLER, DAVID R.					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Joseph D. Pape	3612	1				
The MA Period for Reply	ALLING DATE of this communication	n appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ad	ldress				
THE MAILING - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MON - If the period for reference if NO period for reference in the period for reference in the period in t	D STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI e may be available under the provisions of 37 Ct the polysist of the provisions of 37 Ct the polysist of the	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may on. a reply within the statutory minimum of the original of the original will expire SIX (6) Mostatute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timel ONTHS from the mailing date of this c ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.				
	nsive to communication(s) filed or	1						
•		This action is non-final.						
3)☐ Since t								
Disposition of CI	aims							
4)⊠ Claim(s)	1-14 and 16-25 is/are pending in	n the application.						
4a) Of th	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠ Claim(s)	Claim(s) <u>1-14 and 16-20</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s)	☑ Claim(s) <u>21</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s)	Claim(s) <u>22-25</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) Application Pape	are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.						
9)☐ The spec	cification is objected to by the Exa	miner.						
10)∏ The draw	ving(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to by	y the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35	U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b)	□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1.□ C	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2.□ C	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)	agmont is made of a dialiff for do	and priority under 55 O.S.	J. 33 120 and/or 121.					
1) Notice of Refere 2) Notice of Drafts	ences Cited (PTO-892) person's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 closure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	18) 5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No of Informal Patent Application (PT					

Art Unit: 3612

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 25 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 25 makes reference to the "transition plate" which is set forth in claims 22-24. Thus, claim 25 should be changed to depend from one of claims 22-24. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Application/Control Number: 10/053,743

Art Unit: 3612

4. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Showalter in view of Tucker.

Showalter discloses the claimed invention including side panels 20 and a rear panel 22, and a protective bag cover 36 including side flaps (shown in Figure 8).

Showalter also shows that the bag cover can be used with the side and rear panels or not (Figures 3 and 4).

Showalter does not disclose the specific type of bag cover including a frame with a covering fabric having retracted and deployed positions.

Tucker discloses a bag cover for a golf cart including a frame with a covering fabric having retracted and deployed positions.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the bag cover of Tucker for that of Showalter in order to enhance the ease of moving the cover from over the golf clubs when retrieving or replacing clubs while golfing with the bag cover being used to protect the clubs in between shots.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 1, 3-14, and 16-20 are allowed.
- 6. Claims 22-24 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/053,743

Art Unit: 3612

7. Claim 25 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the objection set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 21 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 3612

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph D. Pape whose telephone number is (703) 308-3426. The examiner can normally be reached on Tues.-Fri. (6:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Dayoan can be reached on (703) 308-3102. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Joseph D. Pape ' Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3612

Jdp October 30, 2003